



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,301	04/10/2001	Valery A. Petrushin	10022/151	2957
33391	7590 . 04/21/2004		EXAMI	NER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			TIEU, BENNY QUOC	
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	11
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
055	09/833,301	PETRUSHIN, VALERY A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Benny Q. Tieu	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI attaction to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2004. (a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet in the control of t	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	🗖				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/833,301

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to support the step of <u>pre-recording a first speech portion of a telephone call received by an agent and said first speech portion is determined to whether or not a monitoring condition is met.</u> What the Applicant's specification disclosed on page 26, lines 21-23 or throughout the specification is to monitoring the level of nervousness of a person and to including an alarm when it is set off to trigger a recording device to <u>begin</u> recording the conversation. The Applicant's specification is silent on a portion of the conversation is pre-recording and that portion is tested before a second portion is recorded.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,301

Art Unit: 2642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elazar (U.S. Patent No. 6,542,602).

It should be noted that the effective date of the new claimed invention is the date of amendment filed on January 27, 2004 since support for all claimed limitations are not found in the specification as originally filed.

Regarding claims 2-4, see column 3, line 50 through column 4, line 30.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed Jan. 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner agrees with Applicant in summary Applicant's specification (page 25, line 29 to page 26, line) as stated on page 8 of amendment filed Jan. 27, 2004. However, Applicant's specification fails to support what the Applicant claimed per claims 2-4 in which a portion of conversation is **pre-recorded** prior to that portion is tested whether or not to meet the monitoring condition. Applicant's specification including monitoring a conversation for the level of nervousness of a person is different with the Applicant's new claimed invention including pre-



Application/Control Number: 09/833,301

Art Unit: 2642

recording a portion of conversation and using said portion to determine whether or not said portion satisfying the monitoring condition.

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

OR Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:


Crystal Park II, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,



Art Unit: 2642

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Q. Tieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-2360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BENNYTIEU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Benny Q. Then

Art Unit 2642 April 12, 2004